

went on to remind the Members that a year before a resolution had called upon all Members "to take such individual or collective actions to end apartheid as were open to them in conformity with the Charter. The United States has supported that resolution and has complied with it. We believe that each Member can and should take measures which, given the particular circumstances, will be most effective to bring about the result that we all desire. The Representative also described what the United States had done to make good on ITS COMMITMENT to work for an end to apartheid and on its obligations under the 16th General Assembly resolution for which it had voted."

ILLEGAL US BOYCOTT OF S. AFRICA

Plimpton also reported: "To be concrete, the United States has already adopted and IS ENFORCING THE POLICY OF FORBIDDING the sale to the South African Government of any arms whether from Governmental OR COMMERCIAL SOURCES which could be used to enforce apartheid. . . furthermore, my Government has made and will continue to make OFFICIAL representations to the South African Government on all aspects of apartheid. It has told and will continue to tell that government that it owes it to the world, to its OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE CHARTER and to the welfare of its own people to abandon apartheid."

And, says the Charter, neither the United Nations nor ANY MEMBER STATE will interfere in the domestic affairs of any State. The gullible American public has been assured over and over that WE would never have "joined" the U.N. without that guarantee.

The 1963 Report is quite extensive and beginning on Page 117 we find — "South Africa's declared policies of racial discrimination were under consideration either in the Plenary General Assembly, in an Assembly Committee or in the Security Council during most of 1963. In the spring, the Assembly's Special Committee on the Apartheid of the Government of South Africa (also known as the Special Committee on Apartheid) prepared a series of reports ON THAT COUNTRY'S RACIAL POLICIES" and it goes on to relate that the Security Council was asked to consider the explosive situation "existing in the Republic of South Africa which constitutes a serious threat to INTERNATIONAL PEACE AND SECURITY."

UN SUBVERSION

Here we have the ONE OUTSTANDING CHARGE the "threat to international peace and security," which calls for WAR — made to the Security Council, the war making agency of the United Nations, against the most peaceful and INDEPENDENT nation in AFRICA. And what is the situation which threatens international peace and security? The Government of South Africa will not agree to integration. How does this policy, in a peaceful and prosperous nation threaten INTERNATIONAL peace and security? The United Nations World Government has arbitrarily decreed racial equality, and will launch a war to enforce its decrees. As in Katanga, THE INDEPENDENCE of South Africa is also a stumbling block to "economic equality." This wealthy nation is not going to divide its wealth with the underdeveloped (and underworked) people of the world. An EXCUSE must be found to take over South Africa and ADD IT TO THE WORLD EMPIRE.

The American delegate in 1963 reporting faithfully on how his government was applying the pressure to South Africa, had this to say, in part:

"We expect to bring to an end the sale of all military equipment to the Government of South Africa by the end of this calendar year in order to further contribute to a peaceful solution and to avoid any steps which might at this point directly contribute to international friction in the area."

INSATIABLE GREED!

You will note that the United States is shutting off ONLY military supplies, most of which would come from government stockpiles. They are not participating in the gen-

IN MEMORIAM



1890 * Conde McGinley * 1963

July 1, 1965 marks the second anniversary of the death of our beloved editor. Our mail continues to bring hundreds of letters praising the courageous and dedicated work of Conde McGinley. From the heart of one reader comes this poem:

CONDE MCGINLEY: In Memoriam

In the dangerous fight for Holy Truth,
Conde McGinley was God's choice
to lead,
Righteous and willing, from his
early youth
His eager spirit sought God's voice
to heed,
Fearless in action, well-knowing
the foe,
Daring the dangers from Satan's
vast horde,
Naming the Anti-Christ, rampant
below,
Whose script traduces the name
of Our Lord.
Conde McGinley, God's choice for
the task,
Exposing to light of the noonday
sun
Satan's vile blasphemies, thus
to unmask
The Anti-Christ face. All this he
has done.
In Earth's clean breast, in consecrated
sod
Conde now sleeps: his spirit rests
in God.

—Leland Lovelace

Countless of our friends remember Conde McGinley in prayer. Please also remember us who are carrying on his crusade for truth. Fighting communism is a thankless task especially when the whole truth is told. Help us to stay in this fight to expose the enemies of Christ and our country regardless of race, or color, or creed. ONLY THE TRUTH CAN SET US FREE. This takes a lot of sweat and tears—and money. Make an investment in your freedom by sending us what you can afford so we can continue the fight for which Conde McGinley gave his all.

eral BOYCOTT decreed in 1962. NOT OUR C.F.R. AMBASSADORS! Their billionaire cartel masters are not abandoning trade relations with the BEST PAYING CUSTOMER ON EARTH. Not even in the holy name of "International Peace and Security." The Report continues — "The United States representative then announced he was authorized to inform the Security Council of another important step which the United States was prepared to take" (Read this

again). This is an example of the word-twisting duplicity of the World Government of the U. N.).

"We expect to BRING TO AN END the sale of all military equipment to the government of South Africa by the end of the calendar year in order to further contribute to a peaceful solution and to avoid any steps which might, at this point, directly contribute to international friction in the area." Now let us see how they propose to do all these things so highly desirable from the World Government standpoint,—the C.F.R. Cartelists being the BIG DEALERS in the HEAVY HARDWARE. Says Mr. Plimpton: "There are existing contracts which provide for limited (?) quantities of strategic equipment for defense against external threats, such as air to air missiles and torpedoes for submarines. We must honor these contracts." These VERY PROFITABLE contracts with the world's best paying customer.

CRITERION FOR FUTURE?

But then — "The Council should be aware that in announcing this policy the United States as a nation with many responsibilities in many parts of the world, naturally reserves the right in the future to INTERPRET this policy in the light of requirements for assuring the maintenance of International peace and security. If the interests of the world community require the provision of equipment FOR THE USE in the common defense effort, we would naturally feel able to so provide without violating the spirit and the intent of this resolve.

"Now Mr. President we are taking this further step to indicate the deep concern which the government of the United States feels at the failure of the Republic of South Africa to abandon its policy of apartheid."

SANCTIONS LATER

Then this — "The United States representative argued that it would be inappropriate to apply sanctions at this time. The extreme measures provided in that Chapter (VII) were not intended for situations such as that currently existing." (Where the most solvent nation on earth is paying cash on the line.)

He said it would be bad policy to attempt to impose sanctions under these circumstances because the measures "would not bring about the desired results — abandonment of apartheid."

The U.N. World Government must be prepared to let the cartel suppliers continue to do business with South Africa — the richest nation on earth. But as for Alabama, or any other FORMER American State, SANCTIONS are plainly in order. For any gullible folk who believe M. L. King's proposed boycott of Alabama is his own idea, we invite you to read and seriously consider the WORDING of the U.N. boycott voted against South Africa.

LIKE BOYCOTT OF ALABAMA?

"(a) Breaking off diplomatic relations with the Government of the Republic of South Africa, or refraining from establishing such relations,

"(b) Closing the ports of each State to all vessels flying the South African flag,

"(c) Enacting legislation prohibiting the ships of each State from entering South African ports,

"(d) Boycotting all South African goods and refraining from exporting goods, including all arms and ammunition, to South Africa,

"(e) Refusing landing and passage facilities to all aircraft belonging to the Government and companies registered under laws of the Republic of South Africa; . . ."

The resolution also provided for the establishment of a special committee to keep the racial policies of the South African Government under review and report to the General Assembly or the Security Council or both as may be appropriate. Member states were invited to inform the 18th General Assembly which was to convene in 1963 of what actions they had taken 'in dissuading the Government of the Republic of South Africa from pursuing its policies of apartheid.' In paragraph 8 the Security Council was requested